

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSENDER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.upote.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/591,762	09/01/2006	Timo Tokkonen	IHN.065.WUS	7880		
76385 Hollingsworth	7590 07/16/2010 & Funk	EXAM	EXAMINER			
8500 Normano	lale Lake Blvd., Suite 32	NGUYEN	NGUYEN, SIMON			
Minneapolis, N	MN 55437		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2618			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			07/16/2010	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/591,762	TOKKONEN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	_
SIMON D. NGUYEN	2618	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS.

- WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed
- after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
- earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status						
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 June 2009</u> .					
2a)□	This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					

## Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1.6-11.13-21.23.26 and 27 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,6-11,13-21,23,26 and 27</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
pplication Papers					

### A۲

9) <u></u> The	spe	cifi	cat	ion	is o	bjected	to by	the	Examiner.	

10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 01 September 2006 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1,121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:

1.	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2.	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No
3.	Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

1)	X	Notice	

ration money		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/591,762 Page 2

Art Unit: 2618

#### DETAILED ACTION

#### Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments, see Appeal Brief, filed 9/22/09, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1, 6-11, 13-21, 23-25 under Miller in view of Back have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn.
 However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1, 6-7, 13, 15-16, 21, 23, and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Heoworth et al. (US 7,240,831 B2).

Regarding claim 1, Hepworth discloses an RF tag reader (104) for reading a plurality of RF tags (102a-b) (figs. 1, 3B, 4, 6, 14), comprising: the RF tags may inserted into printable documents that contain representation of objects and the RF tag reader reads to obtain data from the tags (column 1 lines 50-61), wherein each tag includes software instructions relating to an operation described in the documents and associated with the RF tag (abstract, column 2 lines 59-67, column 3 line 58 to column 4 line 29, column 5 lines 1-39, column 5 line 65 to column 6 line 37, column 7 lines 33-

Application/Control Number: 10/591,762

Art Unit: 2618

48). It should be noted that the Hepworth discloses the tags inserted in the documents, for a user to obtain information (column 1 lines 26-61), for example, in fig.1, there are a plurality of data ID tag 102b, which means the tags can be respectively inserted in a plurality of pages of a user manual (document), which is known to those skilled in the art (or see fig. 1 of Black et al. (US 6,655,586) in the Action filed 6/4/09 for inserting tags in a plurality of pages of a book or document).

Regarding claim 15, this claim is rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim 1.

Regarding claim 23, this claim is rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim 1.

Regarding claim 6, Hepworth further discloses a program used in reading information in the tags (column 3 liens 11-19, column 5 lines 1-19) which means Hepworth discloses the operation of tag reader is automatically performed.

Regarding claim 7, Hepworth further discloses the operation is performed in a tutorial way (by steps) (column 6 lines 22-49).

Regarding claims 13 and 16, Hepworth discloses a processor 1362 of fig.13 to start reading the tag (figs. 1-2, 6, 11, 13).

Regarding claim 21, this claim is rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim 1 as a portion of claim 1.

Regarding claim 27, Hepworth discloses the tag reader reading the tag (figs. 3A-C), wherein the tag reader can read the tag at a distance (via Bluetooth, or IR) or touch the reader to the tag which is known to those skilled in the art.

Application/Control Number: 10/591,762

Art Unit: 2618

4. Claims 8, 10-11, 14, 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hepworth et al. (US 7,240,831 B2) in view of Hoshino et al. (US 2003/0095032 A1).

Regarding claims 8 and 17, Hepworth fails to teach reading tags within a predetermined time.

Hoshino discloses a tag reader for reading a plurality of tags (abstract, fig.1) to obtain information stored therein, wherein each tag reading within a predetermined time, when the predetermined time has passed, the tag reading is released (paragraphs 88, 93). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to have Hepworth, modified by Hoshino in order to prevent breaking in the tag to obtain information illegally.

Regarding claims 10, 18-20, Hepworth/Hoshino discloses the tag reader reading different RF tags, wherein new attached RF tags can be added in documents at any moment of time, therefore, the tag reader/ and a computer need to be updated instructions to deal with the new attached tags which is known to those skilled in the art.

Regarding claim 11, Hoshino discloses reading the tag within a predetermined time (paragraphs 88, 93).

Regarding claim 14, Hoshino further discloses the tag reader is a mobile phone (fig.1). Application/Control Number: 10/591,762 P
Art Unit: 2618

 Claim 26 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hebworth et al. (US 7.240.831 B2) in view of Back et al. (US 6.655.586 B1).

Regarding claim 26, Hepworth fails to teach positioned on different ends of neighboring pages.

Back discloses each page of a plurality of pages attached by a RF tag (figs. 1-3).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to have Hepworth, modified by Back in order to easily access an additional information embedded in a tag by a tag reader.

 Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hepworth et al. (US 7,240,831 B2) in view of Hoshino et al. (US 2003/0095032 A1), and further in view of Landt (US 6,677,852).

Regarding claim 9, Hepworth discloses a step-by-step operation (column 6 lines 22-49). However, Hepworth fails to teach performing by a user.

Landt discloses a tag reader for reading a plurality of tags (abstract, fig.1) to obtain information stored therein, wherein a step-by-step operation is performed by a user (column 7 lines 30-065, column 10 lines 21-67). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to have Hepworth and Hoshino, modified by Landt in order to correct a problem it may cause by inconsistent programming which will improve the system performance.

Application/Control Number: 10/591,762

Art Unit: 2618

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the
examiner should be directed to Simon Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 2727894. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:00 AM to
6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duc M. Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-7503. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

July 14, 2010

/SIMON D NGUYEN/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2618